

## Prime numbers and quadratic polynomials

GIORGIO T. BAGNI

**Summary.** Some nonconstant polynomials with a finite string of prime values are known; in this paper, some polynomials of this kind are described, starting from Euler's example (1772)  $P(x) = x^2+x+41$ : other quadratic polynomials with prime values were studied, and their properties were related to properties of quadratic fields; in this paper, some quadratic polynomials with prime values are described and studied.

*Lisez Euler,  
c'est notre maître à tous!*  
Pierre Simon de Laplace

Several mathematicians studied polynomials assuming prime values, from XVIII to XX century. In this paper, polynomials  $P(x)$  in the one indeterminate  $x$  with integral coefficients are examined, such that  $P(\alpha)$  is a prime if  $\alpha$  is an integer satisfying some conditions.

First of all, let us underline that polynomials of this kind cannot assume prime values for every integer  $\alpha$ : it is possible to prove that if  $P(x)$  is a nonconstant polynomial with integral coefficients in the one indeterminate  $x$ , then there exists infinitely many integers  $x$  such that the value  $|P(x)|$  is *not* a prime number ([4], p. 136). Other negative results, for polynomials in  $n$  indeterminates and with complex coefficients, and for rational functions, were showed in the XX century (Reiner, 1943, Buck, 1946) ([4], pp. 136-137).

In many cases, however, it is possible to find polynomials with "a long string of prime values" (according to Ribenboim's description, in [4], p. 137).

Let us consider the set of prime numbers:

41, 41+2, 41+2+4, 41+2+4+6, 41+2+4+6+8, ...

It consists of 40 elements and it can be written in the form:

$$\{m(h) \in \mathbf{Z}^+ : m(h) = h^2+h+41 \wedge h \in \mathbf{Z} \wedge 0 \leq h \leq 39\}$$

and in the form: {41, 43, 47, 53, 61, 71, 83, 97, 113, 131, 151, 173, 197, 223, 251, 281, 313, 347, 383, 421, 461, 503, 547, 593, 641, 691, 743, 797, 853, 911, 971, 1033, 1097, 1163, 1231, 1301, 1373, 1447, 1523, 1601}. Note that  $m(40) = 41+40\cdot(40+1)$  is composite.

This set of prime numbers can be obtained from a famous Euler's quadratic polynomial with integral coefficients: in 1772, Leonhard Euler (1707-1783) noted that the values of  $P(x) = x^2+x+41$ , for  $x$  integer,  $0 \leq x \leq 39$ , are primes.

It is interesting to investigate about polynomials like Euler's one: are there other polynomials of the form  $x^2+x+q$ , where  $q$  is a prime number, with prime values for  $x = 0, 1, \dots, q-2$ ? Are there other quadratic polynomials, with integral coefficients, with a "long string" of prime values?

First of all, let us consider polynomials of the form  $x^2+x+q$ , where  $q$  is a prime number (41, as in Euler's example, but also a prime number different from 41); Paulo Ribenboim, in *The Book of Prime Number Record* [4], writes:

"For polynomials of the form  $x^2+x+q$ , where  $q$  is a prime number, I note the interesting equivalent properties:

- (1)  $q = 2, 3, 5, 11, 17, \text{ or } 41$ .
- (2)  $x^2+x+q$  assumes prime values for  $x = 0, 1, \dots, q-2$ .
- (3) The field  $\mathcal{Q}(\sqrt{1-4q})$  of all algebraic numbers of the form  $r + s\sqrt{1-4q}$  (where  $r, s$  are rational numbers) has class number 1" ([4], p. 137).

Many mathematicians worked to determine all the primes  $q$  for which the field  $\mathcal{Q}(\sqrt{1-4q})$  has class number 1. In 1801, Gauss (1777-1855) proved that if  $d = 1, 2, 3, 7, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163$ , then the field  $\mathcal{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$  has class number 1. Gauss himself conjectured that there are no other values of  $d$  for which the field  $\mathcal{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$  has class number 1 ([3], pp. 204-230, [4], pp. 964-968); this was proved in XX century (Heilbronn and Linfoot, 1934; Heegner, Baker and Stark, 1952-1966) ([4], pp. 138-139). It is possible to show that the values  $d = 1, 2, 3, 7, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163$  yield to the six values  $q = 2, 3, 5, 11, 17, 41$ .

The study mentioned above does not consider all quadratic polynomials with integer coefficients; the research about *other* quadratic polynomials is related to the study of imaginary quadratic fields (Dirichlet, 1839-1840; Baker, 1969-1971; Montgomery e Weiberger 1974; Stark, 1975). Ribenboim writes:

"Consider the polynomials:

- (I)  $f(x) = 2x^2+p$
- (II)  $f(x) = 2x^2+2x+(p+1)/2$       where  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$

(III)  $f(x) = px^2 + px + (p+q)/2$  where  $p < q$  and  $pq \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .

(I) The only polynomials of type I are  $2x^2 + p$ , with  $p = 3, 5, 11, 29$ , and they assume prime values  $x = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$ .

(II) The only polynomials of type II are  $2x^2 + 2x + n$ , with  $n = 3, 7, 19$ , and they assume prime values  $x = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$ .

(III) The only polynomials of type III are  $px^2 + px + n$ , with  $(p, n)$  equal to the following pairs: (3, 2), (3, 5), (3, 11), (3, 23), (5, 3), (5, 7), (5, 13), (7, 5), (7, 17), (11, 7), (13, 11); they assume prime values for  $x = 0, \dots, n-2$ " ([4], p. 142).

In the last few years, deep studies by Mollin and Williams (1990), by Louboutin (1990 and 1991) and by Mollin (1994) improved our knowledge of quadratic polynomials. These researches are based upon techniques of higher mathematics and they are not didactically very important; it can be interesting to consider the problem from an elementary point of view [Bagni, 1993].

If we consider polynomials of the kind  $Q_{a,p}(x) = ah^2 + ah + p$ , and the sets expressed by [1]:

$$I(a; p) = \{m(h) \in \mathbf{N} : m(h) = ah^2 + ah + p \wedge h \in \mathbf{N} \wedge 0 \leq h \leq p-2\}$$

(without considering the question about the class number of quadratic imaginary fields), we can note that for some pairs  $(a; p)$  not given by Ribenboim the set  $I(a; p)$  consists only of prime numbers.

Some results about sets  $I(a; p)$  can be proved with simple techniques ([1], for example, it is easy to show that if  $p|a$ , then the set  $I(a; p)$  does *not* consist only of prime numbers).

Sets  $I(a; 2) = \{2\}$  consist of only one element (prime). Sets  $I(a; 3)$  consist of two elements and it is immediate to prove the trivial result:

**Proposition 1.** If  $q$  is a prime number,  $q \geq 5$ , then the set  $I\left(\frac{q-3}{2}, 3\right) = \{3; q\}$

consists only of prime numbers.  $\nu$

So for every  $q$  prime,  $q \geq 5$ , it is possible to find a set  $I\left(\frac{q-3}{2}, 3\right) = \{3; q\}$

which consists only of prime numbers. If  $p$  is a prime and  $p > 3$ , the research of sets  $I(a, p)$  consisting only of prime numbers needs computer's aid [5].

$I(a; p)$  has been checked for  $p$  prime,  $p \leq 100$  and for  $a \leq 100000$  (for  $p > 41$ :  $a \leq 20000$ ); the following sets consist only of prime numbers:

- $I(a; 5)$  for the following values of  $a$  (let us list 65 sets for  $a \leq 1000$ ):

1 3 4 7 9 16 21 24 37 42 46 51 63 66 73 79 81 84  
 123 136 156 184 196 198 217 219 228 241 247 268 282  
 301 318 339 367 373 429 436 438 451 483 514 522 612  
 627 646 651 702 709 711 714 724 753 763 774 781 802  
 847 858 871 898 921 931 973 997

For:  $1 \leq a \leq 10000$ : 260 val.  $50000 \leq a \leq 60000$ : 123 val.  
 $10000 \leq a \leq 20000$ : 163 val.  $60000 \leq a \leq 70000$ : 107 val.  
 $20000 \leq a \leq 30000$ : 145 val.  $70000 \leq a \leq 80000$ : 117 val.  
 $30000 \leq a \leq 40000$ : 139 val.  $80000 \leq a \leq 90000$ : 104 val.  
 $40000 \leq a \leq 50000$ : 126 val.  $90000 \leq a \leq 100000$ : 106 val.

- $I(a; 7)$  for the following values of  $a$  (let us list 12 sets for  $a \leq 1000$ ):

2 5 11 36 71 165 282 296 656 830 902 986

For:  $1 \leq a \leq 10000$ : 40 val.  $50000 \leq a \leq 60000$ : 9 val.  
 $10000 \leq a \leq 20000$ : 16 val.  $60000 \leq a \leq 70000$ : 9 val.  
 $20000 \leq a \leq 30000$ : 13 val.  $70000 \leq a \leq 80000$ : 5 val.  
 $30000 \leq a \leq 40000$ : 11 val.  $80000 \leq a \leq 90000$ : 6 val.  
 $40000 \leq a \leq 50000$ : 11 val.  $90000 \leq a \leq 100000$ : 9 val.

- $I(a; 11)$  for the following values of  $a$  (let us list 7 sets for  $a \leq 100000$ ):

1 3 13 951 16960 38248 50676 (then: 140335; 174910)

Ex.:  $I(16960; 11) = \{11, 33931, 101771, 203531, 339211, 508811, 712331, 949771, 1221131, 1526411\}$

$I(38248; 11) = \{11, 76507, 229499, 458987, 764971, 1147451, 1606427, 2141899, 2753867, 3442331\}$

$I(50676; 11) = \{11, 101363, 304067, 608123, 1013531, 1520291, 2128403, 2837867, 3648683, 4560851\}$

$I(140335; 11) = \{11, 280681, 842021, 1684031, 2806711, 4210061, 5894081, 7858771, 10104131, 12630161\}$

$I(174910; 11) = \{11, 349831, 1049471, 2098931, 3498211, 5247311, 7346231, 9794971, 12593531, 15741911\}$

- For  $p$  prime,  $13 \leq p < 41$ :  $I(5; 13)$ ,  $I(1; 17)$ ,  $I(7; 17)$ ,  $I(2; 19)$ ,  $I(3; 23)$  and  $I(1; 41)$  consist only of prime numbers (we checked  $a \leq 100000$ ).

Then the number of sets  $I(a; p)$  consisting only of prime numbers is rather high for  $p = 5$  (1390,  $a \leq 100000$ ) and for  $p = 7$  (129,  $a \leq 100000$ ); we have only 7 sets for  $p = 11$ , 1 set for  $p = 13$ , 2 sets for  $p = 17$ , 1 set for  $p = 19$  and 1 set for  $p = 23$ . For  $23 < p < 41$  no  $I(a; p)$  consisting only of prime numbers were found ( $a \leq 100000$ ); for  $p = 41$  we found only Euler's set ( $a \leq 100000$ ). For  $41 < p < 100$  no  $I(a; p)$  consisting only of prime numbers were found ( $a \leq 20000$ ).

Let us study sets  $I(a; p)$  in the form (by writing:  $h = p - j - 2$ ):

$$I(a; p) = \{m(j) \in \mathbf{N}: m(j) = ap^2 - (2aj + 3a - 1)p + a(j+1)(j+2) \wedge j \in \mathbf{N} \wedge 0 \leq j \leq p-2\}$$

**Proposition 2.** If  $a = 2(2j+3)$ , then  $m(j) \in I(a; p)$  is not a prime number, for all  $j \in \mathbf{N} \wedge 0 \leq j < p-2$ .

*Proof.* Let us consider  $\Delta(a; j) = a^2 - 2a(2j+3) + 1 = a(a - 4j - 6) + 1$  referred to  $ap^2 - (2aj + 3a - 1)p + a(j+1)(j+2) = m(j)$ . If  $a = 2(2j+3)$ , then  $\Delta(a; j) = 1$ ; so:

$$m(j) = (2p - 2j - 3) \cdot [(2j+3)p - 2(j+1)(j+2)]$$

Let us show that neither  $2p - 2j - 3$  is 1 nor  $(2j+3)p - 2(j+1)(j+2)$  is 1:

$$2p - 2j - 3 = 1 \Rightarrow j = p - 2 \quad \text{and this is impossible, being } j \in \mathbf{N} \wedge 0 \leq j < p - 2;$$

$$(2j+3)p - 2(j+1)(j+2) = 1 \Rightarrow j = \frac{p - 3 \pm \sqrt{p^2 - 1}}{2}, \quad \text{impossible, being } j \in \mathbf{N}.$$

This explains why  $I(6; p)$  does not consist only of prime numbers.

**Proposition 3.** If there exists  $b \in \mathbf{N}$  such that:  $a^2 - 2a(2j+3) + 1 = b^2$  or, (as it is equivalent):  $a = 2j + 3 + \sqrt{(2j+3)^2 + b^2 - 1}$ , or:  $j = \frac{a^2 - 6a + 1 - b^2}{4a}$ , with  $a \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $0 \leq j < p - 2$ , then the set:

$$I(a; p) = \{m(j) \in \mathbf{N}: m(j) = ap^2 - (2aj + 3a - 1)p + a(j+1)(j+2) \wedge j \in \mathbf{N} \wedge 0 \leq j \leq p-2\}$$

does not consist only of prime numbers.

*Proof.* If  $\Delta(a; j) = a^2 - 2a(2j+3) + 1 = b^2$  it is possible to write:

$$m(j) = a \cdot \left( p - \frac{2aj + 3a - 1 + b}{2a} \right) \cdot \left( p - \frac{2aj + 3a - 1 - b}{2a} \right)$$

$$j = \frac{a^2 - 6a + 1 - b^2}{4a} \in \mathbf{N} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{a}{4} - \frac{3}{2} + \frac{(1+b)(1-b)}{4a} \in \mathbf{N}$$

and factors of  $a$  are factors of  $(1+b)(1-b)$ ; so:

$$m(j) = a \cdot \left( p - j - \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1+b}{2a} \right) \cdot \left( p - j - \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1-b}{2a} \right) \text{ is a product of integers.}$$

Let us show that:

$$\text{neither } p - \frac{2aj + 3a - 1 + b}{2a} \text{ is 1,}$$

$$\text{nor } a \cdot \left( p - \frac{2aj + 3a - 1 + b}{2a} \right) \text{ is 1,}$$

$$\text{nor } p - \frac{2aj + 3a - 1 - b}{2a} \text{ is 1,}$$

$$\text{nor } a \cdot \left( p - \frac{2aj + 3a - 1 - b}{2a} \right) \text{ is 1.}$$

Let us write:  $j = p - 2 - q \wedge q \in \mathbf{N} \wedge 0 < q \leq p - 2$ .

- If  $p - \frac{2aj + 3a - 1 + b}{2a} = 1$ , it should be:

$$2ap - 2aj - 5a + 1 - \sqrt{a^2 - 2a(2j + 3) + 1} = 0$$

$$\sqrt{a^2 + 2a(2q - 2p + 1) + 1} = 2aq - a + 1$$

$$aq^2 - aq + p - 1 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad q = \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{a^2 - 4ap + 4a}}{2a}$$

and being  $q \in \mathbf{N} \wedge 0 < q \leq p - 2$ :  $a^2 - 4a(p - 1) = k^2 a^2 \wedge k \in \mathbf{N}$

$a(1 - k^2) = 4(p - 1)$  and this is impossible being  $p \in \mathbf{N}$  prime.

- If  $a \cdot \left( p - \frac{2aj + 3a - 1 + b}{2a} \right) = 1$ , it should be:

$$2ap - 2aj - 3a - 1 - \sqrt{a^2 - 2a(2j + 3) + 1} = 0$$

$$\sqrt{a^2 + 2a(2q - 2p + 1) + 1} = 2aq + a - 1$$

$$aq^2 + (a - 2)q + p - 1 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad q = \frac{2 - a \pm \sqrt{a^2 - 4ap + 4}}{2a}$$

for  $p > 1$ , it is:  $\frac{2-a-\sqrt{a^2-4ap+4}}{2a} < \frac{2-a+\sqrt{a^2-4ap+4}}{2a} < 0$

and this is impossible, being  $q \in \mathbf{N}$ .

- If  $p - \frac{2aj+3a-1-b}{2a} = 1$ , it should be:

$$2ap - 2aj - 5a + 1 + \sqrt{a^2 - 2a(2j+3)} + 1 = 0$$

$$a(2q-1) + 1 + \sqrt{a^2 - 2a(2j+3)} + 1 = 0 \quad \text{and this is impossible.}$$

- If  $a \cdot \left( p - \frac{2aj+3a-1-b}{2a} \right) = 1$ , it should be:

$$2ap - 2aj - 3a - 1 + \sqrt{a^2 - 2a(2j+3)} + 1 = 0$$

$$\sqrt{a^2 + 2a(2q-2p+1)} + 1 = 1 - a(2q+1)$$

and this is impossible, being  $q \in \mathbf{N} \wedge 0 < q \leq p-2$ .

As application of proposition 3, let us consider the case  $a = 30$  and the following three choices, satisfying hypotheses of the proposition:

( $\alpha$ )	$a = 30$	$j = 3$	$b = 19$
( $\beta$ )	$a = 30$	$j = 3$	$b = 11$
( $\gamma$ )	$a = 30$	$j = 6$	$b = 1$

In the case ( $\alpha$ ),  $m(j)$  can be factorized in the following way:

$$m(j) = 30 \left( p - \frac{24 \cdot 6}{5 \cdot 6} \right) \left( p - \frac{25 \cdot 5}{5 \cdot 6} \right) = 30 \left( p - \frac{24}{5} \right) \left( p - \frac{25}{6} \right) = (5p-24)(6p-25)$$

$$m(j) = 30 \left( p - \frac{20 \cdot 10}{3 \cdot 10} \right) \left( p - \frac{21 \cdot 9}{3 \cdot 10} \right) = 30 \left( p - \frac{20}{3} \right) \left( p - \frac{63}{10} \right) = (3p-20)(10p-63)$$

In the case ( $\gamma$ ),  $m(j)$  can be factorized in the following way:

$$m(j) = 30 \left( p - \frac{15 \cdot 15}{2 \cdot 15} \right) \left( p - \frac{16 \cdot 14}{2 \cdot 15} \right) = 30 \left( p - \frac{15}{2} \right) \left( p - \frac{112}{15} \right) = (2p-15)(15p-112)$$

The number of pairs  $(a, j)$  such that  $a^2 - 2a(2j+3) + 1 = b^2$ , with  $b \in \mathbf{N}$ , is equal to the number of possibilities of writing two factors of  $a$  in the denominators into the brackets, being these denominators relatively prime ([1], p. 172).

It is important to underline that all the results above given are obtained by elementary techniques.

*The author wishes to express his warmest thanks to Professor Giulio Cesare Barozzi, to Professor Bruno D'Amore and to Professor Piero Plazzi, University of Bologna; thanks to Matteo Di Pieri, Sergio Serena and Alvise Spanò for computational controls.*

**Computational controls.** Using the program *Mathematica* (for example, it is possible to consider  $I(a, 5)$  for  $1 \leq a \leq 100000$ ):

```
i[{{a_,p_}}:=Table[a h^2 + a h + p, {h,0,p-2}]
ctrl[a_,p_]:=Apply[And,PrimeQ[i[{{a,p}}]]]
Table[{ctrl[a,5], a, 5}, {a,1,100000}]
Select[%, (First[#]==True)&]
Map[i, Map[Rest, %]]
```

An Assembler source (Motorola MC68020+) is:

```

; Input:  d0.l=a(max)
;         d1.w=p
movem.w   d0-d7/a0-a6,-(a7)
btst     #0,d1
beq.b    Bye
lea     Tabella(pc),a0
move.w   d1,a1           ; a1=p
move.w   d1,a2
subq.w   #2,a2           ; a2=h=p-2
move.w   #2,a4
MainLoop: move.l   a2,d2           ; d2.w=h
Loop1:   move.l   d2,d3
         addq.l   #1,d3
         mulu.w   d2,d3
         mulu.l   d0,d3
         add.l    a1,d3           ; d3.l=n=a(h(h+1))+p
         cmp.l    a4,d3
         beq.b    nPrimo
         move.l   d3,a3
         move.l   d3,d7
         lsr.l    #2,d7
         addq.l   #1,d7
SqrtLp:  move.l   d7,d4           ; Newton
         move.l   d3,d7
         divul.l  d4,d5:d7
         add.l    d4,d7
         lsr.l    #1,d7
```

```

        cmp.l      d4, d7
        blo.b     SqrtIp
        moveq     #3, d5
        moveq     #3, d1
        moveq     #5+1, d3
        bra.b     dCheck
Loop2:   dbf      d1, Dec5
        moveq     #3-1, d1
        dbf      d3, dInc
        moveq     #5-1, d3
        bra.b     dInc
Dec5:   dbf      d3, Div
        moveq     #5-1, d3
        bra.b     dInc
Div:    move.l   a3, d7
        divu.w   d5, d7           ; d7lo.w=n/d, hi.w=n-dq
        swap    d7
        tst.w    d7             ; d7lo.w=0?
        beq.b    aDec
dInc:   addq.w   #2, d5
dCheck: cmp.w    d4, d5
        bls.b    Loop2
nPrimo: dbf      d2, Loop1
        move.l   d0, (a0)+       ; save a in RAM
aDec:   subq.l   #1, d0
        bhi.b    MainLoop
        rts
        cnop     0, 8
Tabella blk.b    1024
end

```

## Bibliography

- [1] **G.T. Bagni**, *Alla ricerca di numeri primi*, in: ‘La matematica e la sua didattica’, n. 2/1993, pp. 166-174, Pitagora, Bologna 1993.
- [2] **G.H. Hardy & E.M. Wright**, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, Clarendon Press, Oxford 1938 (5th edition, 1979).
- [3] **M. Kline**, *Mathematical thought from Ancient to Modern Times*, I, New York, 1972.
- [4] **P. Ribenboim**, *The Book of Prime Number Records*, Springer-Verlag, New York 1980 (2nd edition, 1989).
- [5] **R.S. Varga**, *Scientific Computation on Mathematical Problems and Conjectures*, SIAM, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 1990.